Standardized Crediting Framework (SCF):
Article 6 Model for Energy Access Rwanda

Listing document for improved cookstoves

*Guidance on how to complete this template is provided in the Annex. Once the Listing Document is complete, the Activity Participant should submit it to the SCF Administrator. The Annex and this paragraph should be deleted prior to this submission.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Template version** | 1.1 | **Date approved** | 29/08/2025  |

I. ACTIVITY[[1]](#footnote-2) INFORMATION

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Activity title |  |
| 2 | National activity lead institution  |  |
| 3 | Activity ID# |  |
| 4 | SCF methodology and version |  |
| 5 | Activity contact: Name |  |
| 6 | Activity contact: Email |  |
| 7 | Activity contact: Phone |  |
| 8 | Activity start date  |  |
| 9 | SCF crediting period start date  |  |
| 10 | SCF crediting period end date  |  |
| 11 | Date of submission of this document  |  |

II. ACTIVITY SITES/ BOUNDARY

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Ref no |
| 12 | Geographic scope of the activity |  |  |
| a. | If provincial, specify provinces |  |  |
| b. | If specific sites, provide specific locations of all sites (not required for country-wide activities) |  |  |

III. ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Ref no |
| 13 | Activity description: |  |
| 14 | Which improved cookstove technologies to increase access will be tracked under the activity?[ ]  Improved biomass-burning cookstoves [ ]  LPG cookstoves |  |
| 15 | Are the baseline stoves three-stone fires or unimproved wood or charcoal stoves? | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 16 | Does the improved biomass-burning cookstove have a thermal efficiency of at least 30% and meet the minimum performance benchmarks defined in the Ministry of Infrastructure of Rwanda (MININFRA) guidelines for clean cooking technologies? [[2]](#footnote-3)  | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 17 | Are the target group(s) rural and/or urban households using fuelwood and/or charcoal to meet their domestic cooking needs in the baseline scenario? | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 18 | Is the activity registered under another carbon market standard for the crediting of mitigation after the start of the SCF crediting period? | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 19 | Have any emission reductions be issued under another carbon market standard for the mitigation after the start of the SCF crediting period? | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |

IV. EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND MONITORING

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | Ref no |
| 20 | Estimated annual emission reductions over crediting period (tCO2e)  |  |  |
| 21 | Has a detailed monitoring and operational plan been developed for the activity? If so, please attach. If not, by what date will it be in place? | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 22 | Additional monitoring plan information (if any)  |  |

V. STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | Ref no |
| 23 | Is a stakeholder consultation under the SCF required to implement the activity? (If no, explain reason below):  | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 24 | If required, was a stakeholder consultation conducted in compliance with the national requirements and based on international good practice as applicable? | [ ]  N/A | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 25 | Were comments provided by local stakeholders taken into account, in compliance with the national requirements and based on international good practice, as applicable? | [ ]  N/A | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | Ref no |
| 26 | Is an environmental impact assessment required to implement the SCF activity? (If no, explain reason): | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |
| 27 | If required, was the environmental impact assessment approved by the relevant national authority?  | [ ]  N/A | [ ]  Yes | [ ] No |  |

VII. REFERENCES/ DOCUMENTATION

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ref no | Description of documentation |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**ANNEX: GUIDELINES FOR COMPLETING LISTING DOCUMENT**

*This section provides guidance to the Activity Participant on how to complete the listing document. For any question where documentation is required, the Activity Participant should list the reference number of the document and then provide a complete listing of documentation/ sources in the table provided in section VII.*

I. GENERAL ACTIVITY INFORMATION

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Instructions** |
|  | Date approved | The administrator indicates the date of the last version of the listing document approved by the Governing Board to ensure that the most recent version is used |
| 1 | Activity title: | Self-explanatory |
| 2 | National activity lead institution  | Self-explanatory |
| 3 | Activity ID# | This will be assigned by the SCF Administrator |
| 4 | Methodology and version | Include full title and version number of methodology under SCF |
| 5 | Activity contact: Name | Self-explanatory |
| 6 | Activity contact: Email | Self-explanatory |
| 7 | Activity contact: Phone | Self-explanatory |
| 8 | Activity start date | This is the date of the earliest activities as defined by the installation date for a household or other facility. Installations may be included from 1 January 2021 at the earliest. Installations made earlier than this date may not be included for crediting. If no installations have been made at the start of the crediting period, the activity start date should be the expected date of the first installations.Use date format DD/MM/YYYY. |
| 9 | Crediting period start date  | The crediting period start date is either the listing date or the activity start date, whichever is earlier. Use date format DD/MM/YYYY  |
| 10 | Crediting period end date  | The crediting period is 5 years. Use date format DD/MM/YYYY |
| 11 | Date of submission of this form  | The date that the listing document is submitted to the SCF Administrator. Use date format DD/MM/YYYY |

II. ACTIVITY SITES/BOUNDARY

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Instructions** |
| 12 | Geographic scope of activity  | Explain the geographic extent of the activity within Rwanda |
| a. | If provincial, specify provinces | List the provinces included |
| b. | If specific sites, provide specific locations of all sites | Only complete this if the activity has a list of specific larger investments – otherwise, the earlier questions will suffice. Attach relevant documentation if necessary. |

III. ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Instructions** |
| 13 | Activity description | Describe the goals of the activity, technologies used, roll-out schedule and standards/quality control applied.One or two paragraphs.  |
| 14 | Which improved cookstove technologies to increase access will be tracked under the activity? | Check which technologies are included in the activity. |
| 15 | Are the stoves being replaced (i.e., baseline stoves) three-stone fires or traditional unimproved wood or charcoal cookstoves? | Check that the stoves being replaced (i.e., baseline stoves) are three-stone fires or traditional unimproved wood or charcoal stoves.  |
| 16 | Does the improved biomass-burning cookstove have a thermal efficiency of at least 30% and meet the minimum performance benchmarks defined in the Ministry of Infrastructure of Rwanda (MININFRA) guidelines for clean cooking technologies? | Check to confirm that the improved stoves have a thermal efficiency of at least 30% measured through the WBT. The WBT evaluates stove performance while completing a standard task (i.e. boiling and simmering water) in a controlled environment to investigate the heat transfer and combustion efficiency of the stove.  Project proponents can submit testing results performed in laboratories that follow the method ISO 19867-1, according to the guidelines published by MININFRA.[[3]](#footnote-4) Attach relevant documentation demonstrating compliance. |
| 17 | Are the target group(s) rural and/or urban households using fuelwood and/or charcoal to meet their domestic cooking needs in the baseline scenario? | Check to confirm the target group of the activity. Attach relevant documentation demonstrating compliance. |
| 18 | Have any emission reductions been issued under another carbon market standard for the mitigation after the start of the SCF crediting period? | Check “no” if the activity has not issued any emission reductions under other carbon market standard for the activity after the start of the SCF crediting period. Attach relevant documentation (e.g. deregistration from other standard or issuance data from other standard showing there is no issuance from the relevant period). |
| 19 | Is the activity registered under another carbon market standard for the crediting of mitigation after the start of the SCF crediting period? | If the response is “yes”, provide evidence that the activity has not issued any emission reductions under other carbon market standard for the activity after the start of the SCF crediting period. Attach relevant documentation (see previous question). |

IV. EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND MONITORING

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Instructions** |
| 20 | Estimated annual emission reductions (tCO2e)? | Calculate based on the methodology and transparent ex-ante assumptions about the value of monitored parameters. Attach relevant documentation. |
| 21 | Has a detailed monitoring and operational plan been developed for the activity? If not, by what date will it be in place? | While activities are not required to have a complete monitoring plan prior to listing, this is preferred. If the plan is not yet available, the Activity Participant must provide a date when it will be submitted.  |
| 22 | Additional monitoring plan information (if any)?  | If the monitoring plan is complete, provide a short overview of the plan. Attach relevant documentation. |

V. STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Instructions** |
| 23 | Is stakeholder consultation required to implement the activity? (If no, explain reason):  | Activities that already completed stakeholder consultation under the Clean Development Mechanism are not required to conduct an additional consultation process. |
| 24 | If required, was stakeholder consultation conducted in compliance with the national requirements and based on international good practice as applicable? | Check “yes” if the activity can document compliance with national requirements and good practice – this documentation will be reviewed at verification. Attach relevant documentation. |
| 25 | Were comments provided by local stakeholders taken into account, in compliance with the national requirements and based on international good practice, as applicable? | Check “yes” if the activity can document how comments were taken into account (e.g. written record of comments and the changes made to documentation, or explanation of why no change is needed) – this documentation will be reviewed at verification. Attach relevant documentation. |

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Question** | **Instructions** |
| 26 | Is an environmental impact assessment (EIA) required to implement the activity? (If no, explain reason): | For activities that do not require an EIA under Rwandan law, Activity Participants will need to show evidence of this exemption during verification (e.g. reference to specific regulations and legislation, as well as technologies included in the activity). Attach relevant documentation. |
| 27 | If required, was the Environmental Impact Assessment approved by the relevant national authority? | If an EIA is required, it must be approved for the activity to be listed. Attach relevant documentation and indicate date of EIA approval (DD/MM/YYYY). |

VII. REFERENCES/ DOCUMENTATION

List the reference number of the file submitted as supporting evidence, as well as the file title and a short description of the documentation if this is not already evident in the file title. Add extra lines as necessary.

VIII. VERSION HISTORY

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Date | Contents revised |
| 1.0 | 05/04/2023  | Initial adoption  |
| 1.1 | 29/08/2025 | Editorial corrections |

1. Activity refers to both project and program. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. See Table 3 of the Ministerial Guidelines for Clean Cooking Technologies published by MININFRA for the standards that apply to different cooking technologies, at: https://www.reg.rw/fileadmin/user\_upload/Ministerial\_Guidelines\_for\_Clean\_Cooking\_Technologies.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. See Table 3 of the Ministerial Guidelines for Clean Cooking Technologies published by MININFRA to see the standards that apply to different cooking technologies at: https://www.reg.rw/fileadmin/user\_upload/Ministerial\_Guidelines\_for\_Clean\_Cooking\_Technologies.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-4)